

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,620	02/03/2000	Douglas E. Ott	15006.0007U2	7719
7:	590 05/23/2002			
D. EDWARD DOLGORUKOV			EXAMINER	
	& MELHORN, LLC	THOMPSON, MICHAEL M		
FOUR SEAGA EIGHTH FLO	- -			
TOLEDO, OH 43604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		3763		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/497,620	OTT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael M. Thompson	3763			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the moderate of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N). R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fratute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 February 2002 .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
•	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10			

Application/Control Number: 09/497,620

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 32-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gradon et al. (U.S. 6,349,722). Gradon et al. teaches a method of providing for a period of time, heated and humidified gas into a patient by directing a gas from a source to a chamber, humidifying the gas within the chamber with liquid, sensing the humidity of the gas as it exits the chamber and monitoring the humidity of the gas exiting the chamber, replenishing the liquid, determining relative humidity, heating the gas, filtering the gas, sensing the temperature, controlling the electrical power, wherein the step of heating and humidifying performed on the gas is simultaneous, with the chamber adjacent to the patient.

Application/Control Number: 09/497,620

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gradon et al. in view of Ott et al. (5,411,474). Gradon et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for filtering the gas prior to the step of humidifying. Ott et al. teaches that "It is known to filter insufflation gas" to prevent passing of inorganic molecules. He further states, "The location and type of filter, however, are very important factors which will influence the effectiveness of the method." It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the insufflation device taught by Gradon et al. with the placement of a filter as taught by the insufflation device of Ott et al. for the purpose of preventing inorganic molecules from reaching the respiratory system.

Application/Control Number: 09/497,620

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim32-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1619. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Primary, AnhTuan Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-2154. The official fax phone number for submissions to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302. The official fax phone number for submission of After Final response is (703) 872-9303. Michael M. Thompson

Patent Examiner

MT W

May 16, 2002

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER